

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/596,817	Applicant(s) CASTILE ET AL.
	Examiner MINA HAGHIGHATIAN	Art Unit 1616
All Participants:	Status of Application: ____	
(1) <u>MINA HAGHIGHATIAN.</u> (2) <u>Alan S. Nadel.</u>	(3) ____. (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2011</u>	Time: ____	
<p>Type of Interview:</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) </p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If Yes, provide a brief description: _____</p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed:</p> <p><i>None</i></p> <p>Claims discussed:</p> <p><i>32-35 and 37</i></p> <p>Prior art documents discussed:</p> <p><i>None</i></p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</p> <p><i>See Continuation Sheet</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</p>		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> /Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616 </div> <div> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Applicant to discuss the claims. Examiner indicated that there appears to be a typo in claim 37, item (c). Examiner inquired if the range of 1-2 mg/ml was meant to be 1-20 mg/ml. Mr. Nadel agreed that "2" indeed should have been "20". Examiner also suggested that if claim 33 was to be amended to delete the term "or preventing", claims 32-35 can be rejoined and allowed. Mr. Nadel agreed to having the term "or preventing" deleted from claim 33, line 1.

Examiner then inquired about Applicant's definition of the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of". Mr. Nadel stated that by "consisting essentially of" Applicants mean that no agents, steps or components that would materially effect or change the characteristics of applicant's invention are present in the formulations as claimed. Amendments are shown by way of an Examiner's amendment, attached..